

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 * * *

7 KEVIN BISHOP,)

8 Plaintiff(s),)

9 vs.)

10 JOHN E. POTTER, *et al.*,)

11 Defendant(s).)
12 _____)

Case No. 2:08-cv-0726-RLH-GWF

ORDER


13 Before the Court is an Order (#132) entered by the Honorable George W. Foley,
14 regarding Defendant's Motion for Sanctions (#113).

15 Plaintiff filed a Motion for the District Judge to Reconsider Judge Foley's Order
16 (#135) in accordance with Local Rule IB 3-1 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District
17 Court for the District of Nevada, and this matter was referred for consideration.

18 The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance
19 with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(A), (B), and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-1 and determines that the Order of
20 Magistrate Judge Foley is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law and should be affirmed.

21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Foley's Order (#132) is
22 AFFIRMED, Plaintiff's Motion (#135) is denied, and Defendant Potter's Motion for Sanctions
23 (#113) is granted as ordered.

24 Dated: July 12, 2010.

25 
26 **ROGER L. HUNT**
Chief U.S. District Judge